

TCD-6-93
September 1993

Singularities in Low Energy $d = 4$ Heterotic String and Brans-Dicke Theories

S. Kalyana Rama

School of Mathematics, Trinity College, Dublin 2, Ireland.

email: kalyan@maths.tcd.ie

ABSTRACT. We present the static, spherically symmetric solutions of the low energy $d = 4$ string. They form a simple generalisation of the Schwarzschild solution and describe the gravitational field of a spherical star in perturbative string theory. If the Robertson parameter γ is different from one, these solutions describe singular objects with naked singularities at their horizons, and whose Hawking temperature is infinite. These objects might represent “massive remnants”, the end states of black holes. Our analysis also implies that Brans-Dicke theory has a naked singularity. Invoking cosmic censorship conjecture will rule out Brans-Dicke theory.

The study of heterotic string in four dimensions may lead to new physical phenomena and may also reveal how intrinsically stringy effects come into play in the four dimensional world at ordinary energies. While a complete four dimensional string theoretic action is not yet known, there is an effective action at low energy that can be studied to the lowest order in string tension and in string coupling. This action always contains a graviton, a dilaton, and an axion and leads to modifications of general theory of relativity (GTR). Also, in the absence of an axion, it is similar to Brans-Dicke (BD) theory, another alternative to GTR. BD theory is described by a parameter ω , related to the Robertson parameter γ by $\gamma = \frac{\omega+1}{\omega+2}$ [1], and reduces to GTR in the limit $\omega \rightarrow \infty$. Experimentally, γ is measured to be $1 \pm .002$ [2] and hence $\omega > 500$. Although the analysis presented here also applies to BD type theories, we will concentrate on strings in the following.

In GTR, the static, spherically symmetric solution of Einstein's equations was given by Schwarzschild. It describes the gravitational field of a spherical star of mass M , which is a black hole if its radius is less than the Schwarzschild radius. This black hole has a singularity which is hidden behind a horizon.

The static, spherically symmetric solutions of the low energy four dimensional heterotic string would describe the gravitational field of a spherical star modified by perturbative string effects. In this letter we study them and explore their singularity structure. Another motivation for this study comes from a desire to understand better the recently discovered singular solution [3] in $d = 2$ string black hole [4] where the curvature diverges at the horizon due to tachyon back reaction effects.

Specifically, we look for a static spherically symmetric solution of the β -function equations for graviton, dilaton, and axion in the four dimensional critical heterotic string. We find a two parameter family of solutions where one parameter (M) is the mass of a star and another (b) is a measure of radial variation of the dilaton ϕ . We analyse our solutions both in "Einstein" frame, which is commonly used in literature, and in "string" frame, which describes the metric seen by the propagating string. Our results, which are also applicable to BD type theories, are as follows: (i) $b = 0$, *i.e.* $\phi = \text{constant}$, gives the Schwarzschild solution of GTR, while $b \neq 0$ gives a simple generalisation of the Schwarzschild solution. (ii) The static solutions describe objects which have singular horizons where the curvature invariants diverge. These singularities are naked. (iii) The Hawking temperature of these singular objects, defined to be proportional to the surface gravity at the horizon, is infinite.

Just as the black holes, described by the static, spherically symmetric solutions of GTR, are expected to form in the collapse of massive stars, the singular objects described by the above solutions can also be naturally expected to form in such processes. The implications of these results are as follows: (i) String theory admits solutions with naked singularities thereby offering an opportunity to study their formation. In this context one can also study additional higher order stringy interactions that may remove these singularities. (ii) If γ is measured to be different from one, then naked singularities exist in the context of low energy perturbative string theory and BD type theories. (iii) The singular objects described here, which have infinite Hawking temperature, might represent “massive remnants” [5], the end states of black holes evaporating by Hawking radiation. (iv) If cosmic censorship conjecture (CCC) is evoked to rule out the static, singular solutions, it will also set $\gamma = 1$ and $\omega = \infty$, thereby ruling out Brans-Dicke theory. Evoking CCC would also necessitate imposing enough (as yet unknown) restrictions so that naked singularities will be absent in any physical process.

We will consider the four dimensional low energy heterotic string with graviton ($\tilde{g}_{\mu\nu}$), dilaton (ϕ), and axion (Θ) fields. In the sigma model approach the β -function equations for these fields can be derived from an effective action

$$S = -\frac{1}{16\pi G} \int d^4x \sqrt{\tilde{g}} e^\phi (\tilde{R} - \tilde{a}(\tilde{\nabla}\phi)^2 + \frac{1}{12} H_{\mu\nu\lambda} H^{\mu\nu\lambda} + \Lambda) \quad (1)$$

in the target space with coordinates x^μ , $\mu = 0, 1, 2, 3$ where G is Newton's constant, $H_{\mu\nu\lambda} = 3\partial_{[\mu} B_{\nu\lambda]}$ is the field strength for the antisymmetric tensor $B_{\nu\lambda}$ and $\tilde{a} = 1$ for strings [6]. Our choice of “Riemann sign” is $(-)$ in the notation of [7]. Note that the field $e^{-\frac{\phi}{2}}$ acts as a string coupling. The axion Θ is related to $H_{\mu\nu\lambda}$ by the differential geometric relation

$$H = -\frac{1}{2} e^{-2\phi} * d\Theta$$

where H is the three-form and $*$ is the Hodge dual. The cosmological constant $\Lambda = 0$ for a critical string compactified to four dimensions and $\frac{d-10}{2}$ for a non critical string in d dimensions. Brans-Dicke type theories are described by (1) if $\Theta = 0$ and $\tilde{a} < 0$ [7]. In the effective action above, which is written in “string” frame with metric $\tilde{g}_{\mu\nu}$, the curvature term is not in the standard

Einstein form. However, the standard form can be obtained by a dilaton dependent conformal transformation

$$\tilde{g}_{\mu\nu} = e^{-\phi} g_{\mu\nu} \quad (2)$$

to the “Einstein” frame with metric $g_{\mu\nu}$. The effective action then becomes [6]

$$S = -\frac{1}{16\pi G} \int d^4x \sqrt{g} \left(R + \frac{a}{2} (\nabla\phi)^2 + \frac{1}{2} e^{-2\phi} (\nabla\Theta)^2 + e^{-\phi} \Lambda \right) \quad (3)$$

where $a = 3 - 2\tilde{a}$ ($= 1$ for strings and > 3 for BD theory). The equations of motion for $g_{\mu\nu}$, ϕ and Θ that follow from this action are

$$\begin{aligned} 2R_{\mu\nu} + a\nabla_\mu\phi\nabla_\nu\phi + e^{-2\phi}\nabla_\mu\Theta\nabla_\nu\Theta + g_{\mu\nu}e^{-\phi}\Lambda &= 0 \\ a\nabla^2\phi + e^{-2\phi}(\nabla\Theta)^2 + e^{-\phi}\Lambda &= 0 \\ \nabla(e^{-2\phi}\nabla\Theta) &= 0. \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

In the following we will consider the critical string, and hence $\Lambda = 0$. We will look for the static, spherically symmetric solutions of these equations in the Schwarzschild gauge where $ds^2 = -f dt^2 + f^{-1} dr^2 + h^2 d\Omega^2$, $d\Omega^2$ being the line element on an unit sphere.

Writing $f = e^\sigma$, equations (4) can be written in a simple form as

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma'' + \sigma' \left(\frac{f'}{f} + \frac{2h'}{h} \right) &= 0 \\ \frac{4h''}{h} + a\phi'^2 + e^{-2\phi}\Theta'^2 &= 0 \\ (fh^2)'' - 2 &= 0 \\ a\phi'' + a\phi' \left(\frac{f'}{f} + \frac{2h'}{h} \right) + e^{-2\phi}\Theta'^2 &= 0 \\ \Theta'' + \Theta' \left(\frac{f'}{f} + \frac{2h'}{h} \right) - 2\phi'\Theta' &= 0 \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

where $'$ denotes r -derivatives. Integrating the first and third equations above gives $\sigma' fh^2 = kr_0$ and $fh^2 = r(r - r_0)$ where k and r_0 are (real) integration constants. The σ' equation can again be integrated using the expression for fh^2 to give

$$\begin{aligned} f &= \left(1 - \frac{r_0}{r} \right)^k \\ h^2 &= r^2 \left(1 - \frac{r_0}{r} \right)^{1-k}. \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

We consider only the case $k > 0$ in the following. In deriving (6) we have shifted r and scaled f appropriately [8]. Requiring f to describe the gravitational field produced by a mass M in the asymptotic region ($r \rightarrow \infty$) gives $r_0 = \frac{2M}{k}$. The curvature scalar is

$$R = -\frac{r_0^2(1-k^2)}{2r^4(1-\frac{r_0}{r})^{2-k}}. \quad (7)$$

The quadratic curvature invariants are $R_{\mu\nu}R^{\mu\nu}$ ($= R^2$ in the Schwarzschild gauge), and the Gauss-Bonnet combination $\mathcal{G} = R_{\mu\nu\lambda\rho}R^{\mu\nu\lambda\rho} - 4R_{\mu\nu}R^{\mu\nu} + R^2$ given, for the above solution, by

$$\mathcal{G} = \frac{4r_0^2k}{r^6(1-\frac{r_0}{r})^{4-2k}} \left\{ 3k(1-\frac{r_0}{r})^2 + k(1-k)\frac{r_0}{r}(1-\frac{r_0}{r}) - \frac{(1-k)^2(2-k)r_0^2}{4r^2} \right\}.$$

The curvature invariants are singular at $r = 0$ for any k and, now, are also singular at $r = r_0$ if $k \neq 1$ and < 2 . These singularities are naked.

Consider the case when only a dilaton or an axion is present. Let $\Theta = 0$. Then ϕ'' -equation in (5) gives $\phi'fh^2 = br_0$ and, hence

$$e^\phi = e^{\phi_0} \left(1 - \frac{r_0}{r}\right)^b \quad (8)$$

where ϕ_0 is the value of dilaton at $r = \infty$ and b is a constant which, if positive, corresponds to strong coupling and, if negative, to weak coupling at the horizon. Note that replacing ϕ by Θ will give the solution when only an axion is present. Substituting for ϕ' in h'' -equation in (5) gives

$$k = \sqrt{1 - ab^2}. \quad (9)$$

Hence $k \leq 1$ and the equality holds only when $b = 0$. Also, $ab^2 < 1$ since k is real and strictly positive. Since $a \geq 1$ this implies that $|b| < 1$. Thus equations (6)-(9) form the static, spherically symmetric solutions of equations (4) in the Schwarzschild gauge when only a dilaton or an axion is present. We will later comment on the solutions when both are present.

The above Einstein frame solution can be written in string frame [9] as

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{f} &= e^{-\phi_0} \left(1 - \frac{r_0}{r}\right)^{k-b} \\ \tilde{h}^2 &= e^{-\phi_0} r^2 \left(1 - \frac{r_0}{r}\right)^{1-k-b} \\ \tilde{R} &= \frac{r_0^2(1-k^2)e^{\phi_0}}{r^4 \left(1 - \frac{r_0}{r}\right)^{2-k-b}} \end{aligned} \quad (10)$$

where $\tilde{}$ s refer to string frame and $\tilde{r}$ is related to r by

$$\tilde{r} + \text{constant} = e^{-\phi_0} \int dr (1 - \frac{r_0}{r})^{-b} . \quad (11)$$

We will set $\phi_0 = 0$ so that $\tilde{f}$ is one asymptotically, and further define the mass $\tilde{M}$ in string frame by $r_0 = \frac{2\tilde{M}}{k-b}$. Since $|b| < 1$, the integral in (11) is not divergent near the horizon. Hence $\tilde{r}$ and $\tilde{r}_0$, the horizon in string frame, are well defined. Thus the curvature invariants in the string frame are also divergent at the horizon if $k \neq 1$ and $k + b < 2$ and these singularities are also naked in the string frame.

The Robertson parameters, which can be measured by experiments, are obtained by writing the line element in isometric form and expanding asymptotically the various functions in it [1]. In our case they are given by $\alpha = \beta = 1$, and $\gamma = 1$ in Einstein frame, and $\gamma = \frac{k+b}{k-b}$ in string frame [10]. The measured value of γ is $1 \pm .002$ [2].

Let $A(r)$ be the area of a sphere S_r of radius r centered at $r = 0$ and $\kappa = \frac{f'}{2}$ be the gravitational field in Einstein frame. Let $\tilde{A}(r)$ and $\tilde{\kappa} = \frac{1}{2} \frac{d\tilde{f}}{d\tilde{r}}$ denote the corresponding quantities in string frame. We then have

$$A(r) = 4\pi r^2 (1 - \frac{r_0}{r})^{1-k} , \quad \tilde{A}(r) = 4\pi r^2 (1 - \frac{r_0}{r})^{1-k-b}$$

and

$$\kappa(r) = \frac{M}{r^2 (1 - \frac{r_0}{r})^{1-k}} , \quad \tilde{\kappa}(r) = \frac{\tilde{M}}{r^2 (1 - \frac{r_0}{r})^{1-k}} .$$

We will now discuss the properties of the above solutions for $k > 0$.

- (i) $b = 0$, *i.e.* $k = 1$, gives the standard Schwarzschild solution.
- (ii) There is only one horizon, at $r = r_0$ (where $f = 0$).
- (iii) The curvature invariants diverge at $r = 0$ for any k . Now they also diverge at the horizon if $b \neq 0$. These singularities are naked.

Einstein Frame :

- (iv) The area of the horizon shrinks to zero if $b \neq 0$.
- (v) The gravitational field κ obeys a ‘‘Gauss law’’ $\int_{S_r} \kappa(r) dA = 4\pi M$.
- (vi) Taking the Hawking temperature T and the black hole entropy Σ to be given respectively by $T = \frac{\kappa(r_0)}{2\pi}$ and $\Sigma = \frac{A(r_0)}{4}$ we have, if $b \neq 0$,

$$T = \infty , \quad \Sigma = 0 , \quad T\Sigma = \frac{M}{2} .$$

String Frame :

The following properties can be easily seen using a graph of $k \pm b$ vs b [11].

- (iv) The area of the horizon diverges if $b > 0$ and shrinks to zero if $b < 0$.
- (v) The ‘‘Gauss law’’ in this case is $\int_{S_r} \tilde{\kappa}(r) dA = 4\pi \tilde{M} (1 - \frac{r_0}{r})^{-b}$.
- (vi) $T = \infty$ if $b \neq 0$. Σ and $T\Sigma$ are both infinite if $b > 0$ and zero if $b < 0$.

We emphasise the point that the static solutions given here describe objects which exhibit naked singularities and have infinite Hawking temperature unless $b = 0$, that is $\gamma = 1$, $\phi = \text{constant}$. We also note that the Hawking temperature T is defined here to be proportional to the surface gravity at the horizon and that it is important to find out by a quantum field theoretic calculation whether these singular objects indeed emit Hawking radiation at temperature T .

We now consider equations (5) with both dilaton and axion present. With $\chi' \equiv e^{-\phi} \Theta'$ we get $\chi'' + \chi'(\frac{f'}{f} + \frac{2h'}{h}) - \chi' \phi' = 0$. Multiplying this equation by χ' and the ϕ'' -equation by ϕ' and adding them together gives

$$fh^2 \sqrt{a\phi'^2 + \chi'^2} = Br_0 \sqrt{a}$$

where B is a constant. From this and the h'' -equation it follows that

$$k = \sqrt{1 - aB^2}$$

and, the previous analysis can be carried through in Einstein frame with b replaced by B . In particular, the singularities at the horizon will be absent if and only if $B = 0$, which implies that both dilaton and axion fields are constants. Thus, including the axion does not remove the singularities at the horizon.

The above static solutions describe the gravitational field outside a spherical star of mass M in string and BD theories. If the radius of the star is less than r_0 it will exhibit naked singularities at its horizon in both Einstein and string frame unless $b = 0$, *i.e.* $\gamma = 1$, $\phi = \text{constant}$.

Can such stars form? In GTR the static, spherically symmetric Schwarzschild solution describes a black hole if the radius of the star is less than the Schwarzschild radius. Such black holes are believed to form as massive stars collapse. In a similar way, it is natural to expect that in string and BD theories massive stars will collapse to singular objects, described by

the static, spherically symmetric solutions in these theories, which exhibit naked singularities. However, more analysis is needed for a definitive answer.

At this stage there are two alternatives to take. The first is to evoke cosmic censorship conjecture and rule out such singular solutions. This would imply that $\gamma = 1$, and hence $\omega = \infty$, thus ruling out Brans-Dicke theory. But naked singularities may appear in some dynamical process described by these theories. For example, such a process appears feasible in $d = 2$ string, resulting in the formation of singular objects [12]. Hence one also needs to ensure the absence of singularities in any dynamical process. Furthermore, if γ were experimentally found to be different from one it would imply, within the context of string and BD theories, the existence of naked singularities. Therefore evoking CCC does not seem fruitful.

The second alternative is to consider the possibility that such singular solutions exist. This would mean that we have to understand the singularities and find ways of dealing with all the conundrums associated with them. It is also possible that in string theory (although not in BD theory) these singularities will be removed by higher order corrections or by some other mechanism which is intrinsically stringy. Moreover, physically, such singular solutions could describe “massive remnants” [5], the end states of black holes evaporating by Hawking radiation.

At the very least, low energy string (and possibly BD) theory gives us a model where naked singularities are likely to evolve. This would provide an opportunity to study their actual evolution and ways of understanding them. Also, taking the low energy string model in its own right, one might postulate or derive from a more fundamental theory additional interactions, symmetries, etc. that would ensure the absence of naked singularities. If naked singularities are indeed absent in nature, one outcome of this work would be to rule out Brans-Dicke theory and its attendant successes. However, one might invoke a time dependent ω that relaxes to ∞ in the end, thereby avoiding the problem of the static, naked singularity. But, in such a theory, one needs to ensure the absence of naked singularities during the evolution of ω and also during any other physical process.

Besides the issues related to naked singularities, one might look for the effects of the static solution presented here in other contexts, as for example, in stellar dynamics where strong gravitational fields are involved and where the deviations from GTR may have considerable effects. It would be very interesting if the consequences of the solution presented here differ from those

of the Schwarzschild solution in any appreciable and detectable way.

It is a pleasure to thank S. Ominsky for reading the manuscript, M. J. Perry for a helpful correspondence, and S. Sen for encouragement. This work is supported by EOLAS Scientific Research Program SC/92/206.

Note Added: After the completion of our work, we were informed of [13], where instability of stringy axion black hole was studied, and [14], where BD theory was analysed in a way similar to ours.

References

- [1] For example, S. Weinberg, “Gravitation and Cosmology”, John Wiley & Sons, Inc. (1972).
- [2] R. D. Reasenberg et al., *Astrophys. J.* **234** (1979) L219.
- [3] S. K. Rama, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **70** (1993) 3186;
Nucl. Phys. **B407** (1993) 191.
- [4] M. Mueller, *Nucl. Phys.* **B337** (1990) 37;
S. Elitzur, A. Forge, and E. Rabinovici, *Nucl. Phys.* **B359** (1991) 581;
G. Mandal, A. Sengupta, and S. R. Wadia,
Mod. Phys. Lett. **A6** (1991) 1685;
E. Witten, *Phys. Rev.* **D44** (1991) 314;
M. Rocek, K. Schoutens, and A. Sevrin, *Phys. Lett.* **B265** (1991) 303.
- [5] S. B. Giddings, *Phys. Rev.* **D46** (1992) 1347; preprint UCSBTH-93-08.
- [6] D. J. Gross and J. H. Sloan, *Nucl. Phys.* **B291** (1988) 41; G. W. Gibbons and K. Maeda, *Nucl. Phys.* **B298** (1988) 741; D. Garfinkle, G. T. Horowitz, and A. Strominger, *Phys. Rev.* **D43** (1991) 3140; A. Shapere, S. Trivedi, and F. Wilczek, *Mod. Phys. Lett.* **A6** (1991) 2677; V. A. Kostelecky and M. J. Perry, preprint DAMTP-R92-40, IUHET 242.
- [7] C. W. Misner, K. S. Thorne, and J. A. Wheeler, “Gravitation”, W. H. Freeman and company (1973).

- [8] Various fields can be scaled/shifted by constant factors/terms using the invariance of equations (5) under $r \rightarrow ar + a_0$, $\phi \rightarrow \phi + \phi_0$, $h \rightarrow bh$, $f \rightarrow \frac{a^2}{b^2}f$, and $\Theta \rightarrow e^{2\phi_0}\Theta$.
- [9] In the string frame, the line element in the Schwarzschild gauge is given by $d\tilde{s}^2 = -\tilde{f}dt^2 + \tilde{f}^{-1}d\tilde{r}^2 + \tilde{h}^2d\Omega^2$ where $\tilde{f} = e^{-\phi}f$, $\tilde{h} = e^{-\frac{\phi}{2}}h$, and $d\tilde{r} = e^{-\phi}dr$.
- [10] In Einstein frame, the isotropic form is given by $ds^2 = -f dt^2 + F(d\rho^2 + \rho^2 d\Omega^2)$ where $f = (1 - \frac{r_0}{4\rho})^{2k}(1 + \frac{r_0}{4\rho})^{-2k}$ and $F = (1 + \frac{r_0}{4\rho})^{2(1+k)}(1 - \frac{r_0}{4\rho})^{2(1-k)}$. The corresponding functions in the string frame are $\tilde{f} = (1 - \frac{r_0}{4\rho})^{2(k-b)}(1 + \frac{r_0}{4\rho})^{-2(k-b)}$ and $\tilde{F} = (1 + \frac{r_0}{4\rho})^{2(1+k+b)}(1 - \frac{r_0}{4\rho})^{2(1-k-b)}$. These functions can be expanded near $\rho = \infty$ to obtain the Robertson parameters.
- [11] The graph of $(k - b)$ can be described by giving its values at special points of b lying in the allowed range $-\frac{1}{\sqrt{a}} \leq b \leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{a}}$ as follows:

$$\begin{array}{cccccc} (k - b) & : & (\frac{1}{\sqrt{a}}, & 1, & \sqrt{1 + \frac{1}{a}}, & 1, & 0, & \frac{-1}{\sqrt{a}}) \\ b & : & (\frac{-1}{\sqrt{a}}, & \frac{-2}{a+1}, & \frac{-1}{\sqrt{a(a+1)}}, & 0, & \frac{1}{\sqrt{a+1}}, & \frac{1}{\sqrt{a}}) \end{array} \quad .$$

The variation of $(k - b)$ is smooth in between the above points. Note that $(k - b)_{max} = \sqrt{1 + \frac{1}{a}} \leq \sqrt{2}$ since $a \geq 1$ and that the behaviour of $(k + b)$ follows by changing b to $-b$.

- [12] S. K. Rama, preprint TCD-5-93.
- [13] F. Fucito and M. Martellini, Phys. Lett. **B289** (1992) 35.
- [14] M. Campanelli and C. O. Lousto, preprint gr-qc/9301013; C. O. Lousto and M. Campanelli in “The Origin of Structure in the Universe”, E. Gunzig and P. Nardone (eds.), Kluwer Academic Publishers, Netherlands (1993).